

Brian Sierant

From: chads@k3bmi.com
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2017 5:01 PM
To: Brian Sierant
Cc: torib@k3bmi.com
Subject: 312 Stakeholder input

Brian,

In our opinion, the current practice of re evaluating the 750 foot buffer zone with renewals and major amendment is the best option. Enforcing new buffer zones immediately would be a nightmare and to leave the old buffers permanently would be obstinately discourteous. To take it one step further, if buffers were to be adjusted immediately when a building was built or became occupied, we as an operator would expect the reverse situation to be observed as well; if a building was vacated or demolished, during the course of a permit, we would expect to gain that acreage immediately.

I expect that the changes in wording will be very helpful for community relations. They are long overdue. The same is true for the 1/4 mile notification radius. It is appropriate that it refers to the actual application area.

Most of the rest of it, I don't have an opinion on. I am curious however on what the Metals EPA Suit is referring to.

I hope this helps, Thanks for all y'all have done for this program

-Chad Sledge